



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. 7217/48794	FILING DATE 12/13/95	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR YASUBA	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. H 7217/48794
-------------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------------	-------------------------------------

LM01/1208  
HAY H MAIOLI  
COOPER & DUNHAM  
1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS  
NEW YORK NY 10036

EXAMINER FERGUSON, K
-------------------------

ART UNIT 2746	PAPER NUMBER
------------------	--------------

DATE MAILED: 12/08/98

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

# Office Action Summary

Application No.  
**08/571,650**

Applicant(s)  
**Yasuda et al.**

Examiner  
**Keith Ferguson**

Group Art Unit  
**2746**



☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 24, 1998

☐ This action is **FINAL**.

☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

## Disposition of Claims

☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above, claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.

☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

☐ Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

## Application Papers

☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.

☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

☐ All ☐ Some\* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been  
☐ received.

☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\*Certified copies not received: \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

## Attachment(s)

☒ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892

☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 13

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Art Unit: 2746

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103*

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 1-5, 11-15, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ahlberg et al. in view of Maeda.

Regarding claims 1, 2 and 18, Ahlberg et al. discloses a communication terminal for informing a user of a receive call from a remote caller by an alert sound (col. 6 lines 35-39), comprising an alert sound generator (col. 6 lines 35-39), a control means (control means) (fig. 2 number 45), a keypad is depressed (determining whether a predetermined operation is operated

Art Unit: 2746

when an alert sound is rung (fig. 2 numbers 50,62, and 48 and column 6 lines 49-69 and column 10 lines 1-8), whereby an operation state of the alert sound generator is generating the alert sound (col. 6 lines 42-45), and means for specifying the predetermined operation is operated by the user (col. 6 lines 45-48). Ahlberg et al. differs from claim 1 of the present invention in that it does not explicit disclose the control means controls the alert sound generator to change a volume of the alert sound only for the receive call while a communication state between the terminal and the remote caller remains unchanged. Maeda discloses the control means controls the alert sound generator to stop (change a volume of the alert sound) only for the receive call while a communication state between the terminal and the remote caller remains unchanged (col.4 lines 35-42). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Ahlberg et al. with the control means controls the alert sound generator to change a volume of the alert sound only for the receive call while a communication state between the terminal and the remote caller remains unchanged in order to inform the other party that the call has been received and is ready to hold a conversation, as taught by Maeda.

Regarding claims 3 and 19, the combination of Ahlberg et al. and Maeda differs from claims 3 and 19 of the present invention in that they do not explicit disclose the control means controls the state of said alert sound generator to reduce the volume of the alert sound. However, control means that controls the state of an alert sound generator to reduce the volume of the alert sound are well known in the art such as in telephones where there are ringer controls to control the ringer volume if it's too loud or too low. Therefore, it would have been obvious to

Art Unit: 2746

one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a control means that controls the state of the alert sound generator to reduce the volume of the alert sound in order not to disturb other people who are working together.

Regarding claim 4,5 and 15, the combination of Ahlberg et al. and Maeda differs from claims 4,5 and 15 of the present invention in that they do not explicitly disclose a power key. However, power keys are well known in the art such as in telephones keypads in order to operate a telephone by pressing an on/off switch. When a caller is trying to call a cellular telephone the operator of the cellular telephone would depress a power key which places the call off hook canceling a ringing signal. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a power key in order to turn a telephone on or off.

Regarding claim 11, Ahlberg et al. discloses a display (fig. 2 number 68).

Regarding claim 12, Ahlberg et al. discloses a transceiver (RF signal processing means) and an antenna (fig. 2 number 32 and 74).

Regarding claim 13, Ahlberg et al. discloses waiting to receive a call (column 10 lines 20-25), ring an alert sound upon receiving a call (column 10 lines 20-25), and changing the state of the alert sound when a predetermined operation is operated (column 10 lines 25-29).

Regarding claim 14, the combination of Ahlberg et al. and Maeda differs from claim 14 of the present invention in that they not disclose depressing a predetermined key for a time shorter than a predetermined period of time. However, Ahlberg et al. discloses activating the hold selecting means (depressing a key for a predetermined amount of time) (column 6 lines 48-51).

Art Unit: 2746

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to depress a predetermined key for a time shorter than a predetermined period of time in order to place the caller on hold.

3. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ahlberg et al. in view of Maeda as applied to claim 14 and 13 above and in further view of Higuchi et al.

The combination of Ahlberg et al. and Maeda differs from claim 16 of the present invention in that they do not disclose a predetermined period of time is substantially equal to one second. Higuchi et al. discloses an user may answer a call by pressing a send key (predetermined period of time is substantially equal to one second)(column 8 lines 69 and column 9 line 1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the combination of Ahlberg et al. and Maeda with a predetermined period of time is substantially equal to one second in order to answer a incoming call which discontinue a telephones ringing, as suggested by Higuchi et al.

4. Claims 6-10 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ahlberg et al. in view of Maeda as applied to claims 1 and 13 above and in further view of Roeder and Knuth et al.

Regarding claims 6-10, the combination of Ahlberg et al. and Maeda differs from claims 6-10 of the present invention in that they not disclose a power source, wherein the control means breaks off power when the power key is depressed for at least a predetermined period of time and the control means changes the state of the alert generator when said power key is depressed

Art Unit: 2746

shorter than the predetermined period of time. However, telephones comprising a control means, multifunction keys and a power source is well known in the art such as a power key used to power on/off a telephone by pressing a key (substantially equal to one second) which eliminates a ringing signal of an incoming call. Roeder discloses a dual mode keypad permitting one touch dialing (a key is depressed shorter than the predetermined period of time)(title and abstract). Knuth et al. teaches an one touch control telephone answering device that can perform multiple functions all by activating a single button (changes the state of the alert generator)(column 1 lines 28-36). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the combination of Ahlberg et al. and Maeda with a power source, wherein the control means breaks off power when the power key is depressed for at least a predetermined period of time and the control means changes the state of the alert generator when said power key is depressed shorter than the predetermined period of time in order to provide one touch activation such as turning off a telephone ringing signal by pressing a single key without eliminating the regular function of a standard keypad, as suggested by Roeder and Knuth et al.

Regarding claims 17, The combination of Ahlberg et al. and Maeda differs from claim 17 of the present invention in that they do not disclose a step of changing the state of the alert sound includes the step of toggling the predetermined operation. However, the teaching of toggling a telephone key in a telephone keypad is well known in the art such as a dual mode keypad permitting one touch dialing as taught in Roeder (U.S. Patent 5,491,745)(title and abstract). Knuth et al. (U. S. Patent 5,406,618) teaches an one touch control telephone answering device

Art Unit: 2746

that can perform multiple functions all by activating a single button (column 1 lines 28-36).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the combination of Ahlberg et al. and Maeda with a step of changing the state of the alert sound includes the step of toggling the predetermined operation to provide multifunction operation of a single key as taught by Roeder and Knuth et al.

5. **Any response to this action should be mailed to:**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

**or faxed to:**

(703) 305-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

**Or:**

(703) 308-5403, (for informal or draft communications, please label  
"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2021 Crystal  
Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Keith Ferguson whose telephone number is (703)305-4888.



Serial Number: 08/571,650

Page 8

Art Unit: 2746

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

Keith Ferguson, Examiner *KF*

Art Unit 2746

November 24, 1998



WELLINGTON CHIN  
ADVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
GROUP 2700